## Case 3:14-cv-03010-RS Document 27 Filed 08/11/15 Page 1 of 5

1	BENJAMIN C. MIZER		
	Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General		
2	MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney		
3	ELIZABETH J. SHAPIRO		
4	Deputy Branch Director RODNEY PATTON		
5	Trial Attorney JULIA BERMAN		
	Trial Attorney United States Department of Justice		
6	Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch		
7	20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001		
8	Tel: (202) 616-8480 Fax: (202) 616-8470		
9	Email: julia.berman@usdoj.gov		
10			
11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14			
15	ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION,	Case No.: 14-cv-03010-RS	
16	Plaintiff,		
17	v. )	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE OF SUMMARY	
18	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, OFFICE	JUDGMENT BRIEFING TO PERMIT REPROCESSING OF DOCUMENT	
19	OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL	AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	
	INTELLIGENCE,	Hon. Richard Seeborg	
20			
21	Defendants.		
22	)		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR CONT	INUANCE OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT	

BRIEFING TO PERMIT REPROCESSING OF DOCUMENT AND PROPOSED ORDER
Case No.: 14-cv-03010-RS

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-2, Defendants hereby request a continuance of the upcoming summary judgment briefing for Defendants to complete reprocessing of the single document responsive to Plaintiff's May 6, 2014 Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") requests that remains in contention in this matter. In support of this motion, the Government states as follows:

- 1. Throughout this litigation, the parties have worked to narrow the scope of the issues in this action. After the parties agreed to a narrowing of Plaintiff's FOIA requests, Defendants produced 16 responsive documents, all of which contained redactions pursuant to claimed FOIA exemptions. Defendants also withheld in full 107 documents responsive to Plaintiff's requests.
- 2. The parties conferred after the completion of the Defendants' production, and agreed that the only issue remaining in contention in this matter is one record withheld in full pursuant to FOIA exemptions (b)(1), (b)(3), and (b)(5). *See* Joint Case Management Statement, ECF No. 24, at 5. The parties then submitted a proposed schedule for summary judgment briefing regarding that issue. *See id*.
- 3. The Court adopted the parties' proposed summary judgment briefing schedule in an Order dated May 19, 2015. *See* Order Adopting Proposed Briefing Schedule and Vacating Case Management Conference, ECF No. 25.
  - 4. Under the current schedule:
    - a. On or before August 12, 2015, defendants will file their motion for summary judgment.
    - b. On or before September 11, 2015, plaintiff will file its combined cross-motion for summary judgment and opposition to defendants' motion.
    - c. On or before October 16, 2015, defendants will file their combined opposition to plaintiff's motion and reply in support of their motion.
- d. On or before October 30, 2015, plaintiff will file its reply in support of its motion. *Id.* at 1.
- 5. Defendants have now determined that the single document that remains in contention in this case may be reprocessed and released in part. Defendants have begun that process and anticipate that they will be able to produce a redacted version of the document to Plaintiff by September 3.

## Case 3:14-cv-03010-RS Document 27 Filed 08/11/15 Page 3 of 5

6.	Plaintiff has agreed to review the rep	processed document, in conjunction with a		
Vaughn index	Vaughn index for that document, to determine whether it is satisfied with the disclosure or whether			
it will challen	ge the redactions taken in the docume	ent. Plaintiff has agreed to inform the		
Defendants of how it wishes to proceed by September 17, 2015.				
7.	At that time, the parties propose to f	ile an update with the Court, informing the		
Court of whether any substantive matters remain in contention, and, if so, proposing a new				
schedule for summary judgment briefing that would begin in October 2015.				
8.	The requested continuance would su	spend the deadlines currently on the calendar in		
this matter. T	The parties respectfully request this rel	lief to enable them to confer regarding the		
reprocessed document, and to avoid potentially unnecessary briefing regarding the merits of this				
matter.				
9.	There have been no prior extensions	or continuances as to the summary judgement		
briefing sched	dule described in paragraph 4.			
For al	l of these reasons, the parties respect	fully request that the Court continue the current		
summary judgment briefing schedule and order that the parties submit a status report, including, if				
any substantiv	ve matters remain in contention, a nev	v proposed summary judgment briefing schedule		
by September 25, 2015.				
DATED: Aug	gust 11, 2015	Respectfully submitted,		
		BENJAMIN C. MIZER Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General		
		MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney		
		ELIZABETH J. SHAPIRO Deputy Branch Director		
		/s/ Julia A. Berman RODNEY PATTON JULIA A. BERMAN, Bar No. 241415		
		United States Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch		

## Case 3:14-cv-03010-RS Document 27 Filed 08/11/15 Page 4 of 5

1	20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001	
2	Telephone: (202) 616-8480 Facsimile: (202) 616-8470	
3	Attorneys for Defendants	
4	/s/ Andrew Crocker	
5	Andrew Crocker Mark Rumold	
6	Nark Rumoid Nathan Cardozo	
	Jennifer Lynch	
7	ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION 815 Eddy St.	
8	San Francisco, CA 94109	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	Electronic Frontier Foundation	
11		
12		
13	ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 5-1(i)(3)	
14	I, Julia Berman, hereby attest that I obtained the concurrence of Andrew Crocker, counsel	
15	for the Plaintiff, Electronic Frontier Foundation, in the filing of this document.	
16		
17	<u>/s/ Julia A. Berman</u>	
18	JULIA A. BERMAN Trial Attorney	
	United States Department of Justice	
19	Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.	
20	Washington, D.C. 20001	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	3 STIPULATED REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT	

## Case 3:14-cv-03010-RS Document 27 Filed 08/11/15 Page 5 of 5

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, it is hereby ORDERED that the current summary judgment		
2	briefing schedule is continued, and it is further ORDERED that the parties shall submit a status		
3	report, including, if any substantive matters remain in contention, a new proposed summary		
4	judgment briefing schedule by September 25, 2015.		
5			
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7	Dated:		
8	HON. RICHARD SEEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
9	CIVILD STATES DISTRICT VEDGE		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	4		